



FROST & SULLIVAN
BEST PRACTICES



2026

AUSTRALIAN CUSTOMER
EXPERIENCE
MANAGEMENT SERVICES

**TRANSFORMATIONAL
INNOVATION LEADERSHIP**



Table of Contents

Best Practices Criteria for World-Class Performance	3
The Transformation of the Australian CXM Services Industry	3
A Market Gap That Three Provider Types Left Open	4
A Challenger the Field Had Not Priced In	4
Operators Funding Their Own Thesis	5
Contracts That Carry Their Own Risk	5
Governance After the Ink Dries	6
Brand Strength That a Two-Year-Old Challenger Rarely Earns	7
Conclusion	7
What You Need to Know about the Transformational Innovation Leadership Recognition	8
Best Practices Recognition Analysis	8
Transformational Innovation	8
Customer Impact	8
Best Practices Recognition Analytics Methodology	9
Inspire the World to Support True Leaders	9
About Frost & Sullivan	10
The Growth Pipeline Generator™	10
The Innovation Generator™	10

Best Practices Criteria for World-Class Performance

Frost & Sullivan applies a rigorous analytical process to evaluate multiple nominees for each recognition category before determining the final recognition recipient. The process involves a detailed evaluation of best practices criteria across two dimensions for each nominated company. Symbols excels in many of the criteria in the Australian CXM services space.

AWARD CRITERIA	
<i>Transformational Innovation</i>	<i>Customer Impact</i>
Market Disruption	Price/Performance Value
Competitive Differentiation	Customer Purchase Experience
Market Gaps	Customer Ownership Experience
Leadership Focus	Customer Service Experience
Passionate Persistence	Brand Equity

The Transformation of the Australian CXM Services Industry

By aligning customer expectations, operational processes, and overarching business strategy, customer experience (CX) has transitioned from a tactical support function to a board-level imperative directly tied to growth, brand equity, and customer lifetime value. The 2026 Frost & Sullivan annual global survey of IT decision-makers found that 64% of Australian businesses ranked improving CX among their top business priorities, a measure of how far the discipline has climbed on the corporate agenda.

Competitive intensity is rising as buyers consolidate provider panels and in-house alternatives mature. Generative and agentic AI are shifting value from labor supply toward orchestration and intelligence. In addition, commercial terms are drifting toward shared risk, with enterprise buyers asking providers to stake fees against outcomes.

Australia's CXM services market is mature and structurally settled. Frost & Sullivan estimates the outsourced market at nearly US\$1.3 billion, with growth constrained by high labor costs, a large in-house delivery base, and procurement cycles that favor incumbency. Competition has long clustered into three provider types: global providers selling scale through local offices, systems integrators attaching CXM to broader technology mandates, and domestic providers competing on relationships and delivery consistency.

That structure left a gap. Enterprise buyers under margin pressure increasingly ask providers to carry commercial risk on outcomes, bring consulting depth into service design, and keep sensitive work

onshore or in sovereign-approved locations. Most established provider types were not built for that combination. Global providers protect large annuity revenue bases and move cautiously on outcome-based pricing. Systems integrators sell technology first and operations second. Domestic providers typically lack the capital to build advisory, software, and delivery capabilities at once.

The question facing the market was who would fund and assemble a provider designed for these new buying conditions. Symbolos answered it.

A Market Gap That Three Provider Types Left Open

Symbolos entered the Australian market with a specific thesis: a well-capitalized, locally rooted

“Four pillars organize the Symbolos portfolio: connected experience for customer-facing services; enterprise enablement for credit, collections, and back-office processing; digital enablement for technology-led services; and managed services for clients seeking capability centers without full outsourcing.”

**– Krishna Baidya,
VP, ICT Practice**

challenger, contracting on outcomes and led by executives with deep domestic relationships, could win against providers many times its size. The company's leadership team, drawn from senior operating roles across the Australian CXM industry, had watched buyer behavior shift toward risk-sharing and consulting-led engagement, while provider commercial models remained static.

Backed by Allegro Funds, a private equity firm with a track record in operational transformations, Symbolos moved from thesis to execution at unusual speed. Its first acquisition established a revenue base in

receivables management, a segment where performance is measured in hard recovery outcomes and where the company's leadership held credibility with major banks, utilities, and government panels. The second acquisition, debt collection software company Debtrak, gave Symbolos a software asset in the same vertical, pairing delivery capability with technology ownership in a way few domestic competitors could match.

The third move, the late 2025 acquisition of CPM Australia, added revenue operations capability and a client base spanning consumer and business-to-business engagement. Each acquisition filled a defined slot in a construct the leadership had mapped in advance. Frost & Sullivan observes that this sequencing discipline distinguishes Symbolos from acquisition programs across the wider evaluated field, where deals more often chase revenue than fit.

A Challenger the Field Had Not Priced In

Four pillars organize the Symbolos portfolio: connected experience for customer-facing services; enterprise enablement for credit, collections, and back-office processing; digital enablement for technology-led services; and managed services for clients seeking capability centers without full outsourcing. The managed services arm, which establishes dedicated capability centers in the Philippines for Australian organizations, extends the company's reach to buyers seeking wage arbitrage and control without a conventional outsourcing contract. Roughly 600 people already sit under such arrangements, spanning finance, technology help desk, customer connect, and marketing functions,

with agentic AI services running across the centers as a shared layer. One education-sector client's center alone covers seven role types with about 175 staff.

“The commercial model is where Symbos' conviction shows up in writing. Company executives report that 60% to 65% of contracts won over the past year carry an outcome-based component, with 30% to 40% of revenue at risk against key performance indicators, acquisition results, or committed cost reduction.”

**– Krishna Baidya,
VP, ICT Practice**

Location strategy reinforces the positioning. Onshore delivery anchors in Victoria. Fiji is the nearshore location of choice for insurance and financial services buyers whose data sovereignty and travel proximity requirements rule out more distant geographies. The Philippines provides offshore scale. This three-tier disposition, now spanning roughly 2,500 full-time employees, lets Symbos price and place work in configurations the established field finds hard to replicate at short notice.

The technology posture follows the same logic. The engineering team connects partner platforms for routing, virtual agents, knowledge management, and analytics into a single environment, which the company calls Symbos Edge and presents to clients as a single white-labeled solution. Buyers see an outcome design, a containment target of 30% to 40% on digital front doors, for example, without needing to evaluate the platform brands behind it. Client feedback pushed the company toward this model; executives report that enterprise buyers asked to be sold on outcomes and intellectual property, and the platform names bored them.

Operators Funding Their Own Thesis

Symbos' founding team came from senior operating roles inside the Australian CXM industry, executives who had run large domestic providers through periods of rapid scaling and who understood exactly where incumbent commercial models were failing buyers. That operating history shaped the early decisions on which buyer frustrations to build the proposition around.

One leadership choice stood out. Leadership treated consulting as an investment embedded in solution design, deliberately kept off the books as a standalone revenue line so its incentives stay tied to delivery. Both choices trade near-term profit optics for durable positioning, a pattern typical of leadership teams building for the long game.

The leadership culture is candid about its own gaps. In briefings with Frost & Sullivan, executives acknowledged that post-acquisition integration should have started earlier and described current integration work as a top priority. Candor of that sort is rare in vendor briefings. It sits alongside a stated posture of moving on the market before the market moves on them, and both point to a leadership team running on conviction.

Contracts That Carry Their Own Risk

The commercial model is where Symbos' conviction shows up in writing. Company executives report that 60% to 65% of contracts won over the past year carry an outcome-based component, with 30% to 40% of revenue at risk against key performance indicators, acquisition results, or committed cost reduction. Gainshare structures fund technology investment: in several contracts, Symbos absorbs

software costs and recovers them through a share of the savings it generates. For a provider delivering services through an onshore workforce, shifting value from headcount to funded technology is sound economics and a credible signal that the provider expects its own solutions to work.

Customer relationship is often built around consulting. Symbolis engages its consulting team at the start of every bid, mapping the prospective client's value chain, pain points, and candidate work types before commercial terms are drafted. Bid responses arrive as solution blueprints with assumptions stated: this is what your customer flow looks like, these are the pain points your customers hit, these are the interventions and the problems each one solves. Platform brand names stay out of the pitch entirely. The company reports this approach has shortened sales cycles by moving conversations from product comparison to outcome design, and that prospective clients respond with genuine engagement where generic capability decks once drew silence. As the bid progresses, consultants stay in the room, running workshops with the prospect so that the blueprint sharpens before contract award. Executives report that every bid over the past year has followed this method.

Governance After the Ink Dries

Symbolis formalizes post-signature engagement through what it calls the Convergence model: executive forums, quarterly roadmap cycles, and an innovation council structure that treats transformation as part of the operating cadence. In practice, this keeps outcome commitments visible at the executive level on both sides of the contract, which matters when a third of the provider's revenue depends on hitting them.

Company-reported engagement results show the model at work across regulated sectors. The pattern matters as much as the individual numbers.

In a financial services engagement, Symbolis was brought in as competitive pressure from digital-first entrants collided with rising cost-to-serve. Its consulting team traced where friction entered the customer journey, finding that 28% of service interactions occurred before a product was even purchased, then redesigned the operating model around journey work, deflection, and automation. The engagement delivered a 21% uplift in cross-sell conversion and a 20% reduction in cost-to-serve, with 71% of contacts digitized and 19% of interactions automated.

A regional water utility presented the opposite problem: unpredictable demand spikes during outages that legacy platforms dropped at peak load. Symbolis rebuilt the environment around AI self-service, outage-system integration with live contact center updates, and a flexible workforce blending permanent and contingent capacity. The redesigned operation deflected 62% of inbound contacts and recorded zero critical system failures through peak disruption events.

A health insurer engaged Symbolis to extract intelligence from thousands of daily interactions that its analysts could only sample. The company's generative AI layer reached 92% accuracy in categorizing interactions, reduced unknown call drivers from 15% to zero, and reduced investigation times by 70%, converting the contact center from a reactive service function into a decision input for claims and policy operations.

A private healthcare network preparing for national accreditation used Symbol's process discipline to document 112 processes, map 375 risks and controls, and identify US\$25 million in efficiency opportunities, delivered ahead of schedule through hybrid onshore and offshore execution.

Frost & Sullivan notes these figures are company-reported. Their consistency across four sectors, four problem types, and four distinct service lines nonetheless indicates a repeatable delivery method, and each engagement began with diagnosis before solution, the signature of the consulting-embedded model described above.

Brand Strength That a Two-Year-Old Challenger Rarely Earns

Company-reported CXM revenue grew by approximately 55% between 2023 and 2025, and the client base expanded from 90 to 225 organizations over the same period. The growth record bears out the founding premise. Digital services rose from 29% to 36% of revenue, evidence that the technology-led portfolio is winning share of wallet and that growth is more than acquired scale. The client roster spans three of Australia's four major banks, national utilities, federal government panels, and a widening mid-market base served through the managed services arm.

Brand recognition has followed. Senior operations leaders at national retail, energy, and utilities brands have provided on-record endorsements citing surge scaling during crisis events, recovery performance that displaced longer-tenured panel providers, and voice-bot triage that freed store teams from phone traffic. In a market where reference checks determine shortlists, endorsements of this specificity carry commercial weight. Loyalty data points in the same direction: company-reported average client tenure reached 12.5 years in 2025, much of it owed to relationships inherited through acquisition and kept through the transition.

Persistence shows in what the company is building next. Symbol is building a specialist healthcare proposition around government health channels, pairing generalist contact capacity with clinical advice capability so that agencies can source triage and general inquiry handling through one provider. In parallel, it is extending its capability center model toward technology and AI-sector clients whose customer bases are scaling faster than their service operations. The pattern is consistent: identify a segment where buyer needs have outrun provider models, assemble what the segment needs, and contract on the result.

Conclusion

Established markets rarely produce new challengers because the capital, relationships, and capabilities required rarely converge. Symbol assembled all three within two years and aimed them at the incumbent field's soft spots: a reluctance to share commercial risk and pricing models built for a labor-arbitrage era. Its outcome-weighted contracts and consulting-led purchase experience give Australian enterprises a provider option the market previously lacked, delivered from a footprint that answers sovereignty requirements most offshore models cannot.

With its strong overall performance, Symbol earns Frost & Sullivan's 2026 Transformational Innovation Leadership Recognition in the Australian customer experience management services industry.

What You Need to Know about the Transformational Innovation Leadership Recognition

Frost & Sullivan's Transformational Innovation Leadership Recognition identifies the best up-and-coming, potentially disruptive market participant.

Best Practices Recognition Analysis

For the Transformational Innovation Leadership Recognition, Frost & Sullivan analysts independently evaluated the criteria listed below.

Transformational Innovation

Market Disruption: Innovative new solutions have a genuine potential to disrupt the market, render current solutions obsolete, and shake up competition

Competitive Differentiation: Solutions or products articulate and display unique competitive advantages

Market Gaps: Solution satisfies the needs and opportunities that exist between customers' desired outcomes and their current market solutions

Leadership Focus: Companies' focuses are on building a leadership position in core markets and on creating stiff barriers to entry for new competitors

Passionate Persistence: Tenacity enables the pursuit and achievement of seemingly insurmountable industry obstacles

Customer Impact

Price/Performance Value: Products or services offer the best ROI and superior value compared to similar market offerings

Customer Purchase Experience: Purchase experience with minimal friction and high transparency assures customers that they are buying the optimal solution to address both their needs and constraints

Customer Ownership Excellence: Products and solutions evolve continuously in sync with the customers' own growth journeys, engendering pride of ownership and enhanced customer experience

Customer Service Experience: Customer service is readily accessible and stress-free, and delivered with high quality, high availability, and fast response time

Brand Equity: Customers perceive the brand positively and exhibit high brand loyalty, which is regularly measured and confirmed through a high Net Promoter Score®

Best Practices Recognition Analytics Methodology

Inspire the World to Support True Leaders

This long-term process spans 12 months, beginning with the prioritization of the sector. It involves a rigorous approach that includes comprehensive scanning and analytics to identify key best practice trends. A dedicated team of analysts, advisors, coaches, and experts collaborates closely, ensuring thorough review and input. The goal is to maximize the company's long-term value by leveraging unique perspectives to support each Best Practice Recognition and identify meaningful transformation and impact.

VALUE IMPACT			
STEP		WHAT	WHY
1	Opportunity Universe	Identify Sectors with the Greatest Impact on the Global Economy	Value to Economic Development
2	Transformational Model	Analyze Strategic Imperatives That Drive Transformation	Understand and Create a Winning Strategy
3	Ecosystem	Map Critical Value Chains	Comprehensive Community that Shapes the Sector
4	Growth Generator	Data Foundation That Provides Decision Support System	Spark Opportunities and Accelerate Decision-making
5	Growth Opportunities	Identify Opportunities Generated by Companies	Drive the Transformation of the Industry
6	Frost Radar	Benchmark Companies on Future Growth Potential	Identify Most Powerful Companies to Action
7	Best Practices	Identify Companies Achieving Best Practices in All Critical Perspectives	Inspire the World
8	Companies to Action	Tell Your Story to the World (BICEP*)	Ecosystem Community Supporting Future Success

*Board of Directors, Investors, Customers, Employees, Partners

About Frost & Sullivan

Frost & Sullivan is the Growth Pipeline Company™. We power our clients to a future shaped by growth. Our Growth Pipeline as a Service™ provides the CEO and the CEO's growth team with a continuous and rigorous platform of growth opportunities, ensuring long-term success. To achieve positive outcomes, our team leverages over 60 years of experience, coaching organizations of all types and sizes across 6 continents with our proven best practices. To power your Growth Pipeline future, visit Frost & Sullivan at <http://www.frost.com>.

The Growth Pipeline Generator™

Frost & Sullivan's proprietary model to systematically create ongoing growth opportunities and strategies for our clients is fueled by the Innovation Generator™.

[Learn more.](#)

Key Impacts:

- **Growth Pipeline:** Continuous Flow of Growth Opportunities
- **Growth Strategies:** Proven Best Practices
- **Innovation Culture:** Optimized Customer Experience
- **ROI & Margin:** Implementation Excellence
- **Transformational Growth:** Industry Leadership



The Innovation Generator™

Our 6 analytical perspectives are crucial in capturing the broadest range of innovative growth opportunities, most of which occur at the points of these perspectives.

Analytical Perspectives:

- Megatrend (MT)
- Business Model (BM)
- Technology (TE)
- Industries (IN)
- Customer (CU)
- Geographies (GE)

